

Proof of the (local) angular momentum-mass inequality for axisymmetric black holes

Sergio Dain

Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik

Am Mühlenberg 1

14476 Golm

Germany

February 7, 2008

Abstract

We prove that for any vacuum, maximal, asymptotically flat, axisymmetric initial data for Einstein equations close to extreme Kerr data, the inequality $\sqrt{J} \leq m$ is satisfied, where m and J are the total mass and angular momentum of the data. The proof consists in showing that extreme Kerr is a local minimum of the mass.

1 Introduction

Physical arguments led to the conjecture that for every axisymmetric, vacuum, asymptotically flat, complete, initial data set for Einstein equations the following inequality should hold

$$\sqrt{|J|} \leq m, \tag{1}$$

where m is the mass of the data and J the angular momentum in the asymptotic region. Moreover, the equality in (1) should imply that the data is an slice of the extreme Kerr black hole. For a more detailed discussion of the motivations and relevance of (1) and related inequalities see [8], [10], [7] [6] and [5].

In [6] the proof of (1), for maximal data, was reduced to a variational problem. In this article we will prove that this variational problem has a local minimum at the extreme Kerr solution, and hence we prove that inequality

(1) is satisfied for axisymmetric, maximal, vacuum data sufficiently close to extreme Kerr data.

We first present the mathematical formulation of the variational problem. Let ρ, z, ϕ be cylindrical coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^3$, and let v, Y two functions which depend only on ρ, z . Consider the functional defined in [6]¹

$$\mathcal{M}(v, Y) = \frac{1}{32\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\partial v|^2 + \rho^{-4} e^{-2v} |\partial Y|^2) d\mu, \quad (2)$$

where $d\mu = \rho dz d\rho d\phi$ is the volume element in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and ∂ denotes partial derivative with respect to ρ and z ; that is $|\partial v|^2 = v_{,z}^2 + v_{,\rho}^2$. Let v_0 and Y_0 denote the extreme Kerr initial data given explicitly in appendix A. These functions depend on a free parameter J , the angular momentum of the data. Set

$$v = v_0 + \alpha, \quad Y = Y_0 + y. \quad (3)$$

To simplify the notation we will write $\varphi \equiv (\alpha, y)$, $u \equiv (v, Y)$ and $u_0 \equiv (v_0, Y_0)$. Let Ω be a (unbounded) domain in $\mathbb{R}^3$. We introduce the following weighted spaces of C^1 functions in Ω

$$\|f\|_{C_\beta^1(\Omega)} = \sup_{x \in \Omega} \{ \sigma^{-\beta} |f| + \sigma^{-\beta+1} |\partial f| \}, \quad (4)$$

with $\beta < -1/2$ and $\sigma = \sqrt{r^2 + 1}$, $r = \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2}$.

Let $\rho_0 > 0$ be a constant and K_{ρ_0} be the cylinder $\rho \geq \rho_0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$. We define the domain Ω_{ρ_0} by $\Omega_{\rho_0} = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K_{\rho_0}$. The perturbation y is assumed to vanish in K_{ρ_0} . This is consistent with the physical requirement that the perturbations keep fixed the angular momentum J (see equation (17) and also [6] [5]). The Banach space $\mathcal{B}$ is defined by

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} \equiv \|\alpha\|_{C_\beta^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|y\|_{C_\beta^1(\Omega_{\rho_0})}. \quad (5)$$

We consider $\mathcal{M}$ as a functional on $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The following is the main result of this article.

Theorem 1.1. *The functional $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by (2) has a strict local minimum at u_0 . That is, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\mathcal{M}(u_0 + \varphi) > \mathcal{M}(u_0), \quad (6)$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \epsilon$ and $\varphi \neq 0$.

¹We have slightly changed the notation in [6]: we rescale $v \rightarrow 4v$.

For simplicity we have assumed that y vanished at K_{ρ_0} , the theorem is expected to be true if we impose appropriate decay conditions for y at the axis, however the analysis in this case is more complicated. We also expect that u_0 is an absolute global minimum of $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathcal{B}$ (see [6]).

We discuss now the application of theorem 1.1 to the proof of inequality (1) in a neighborhood of extreme Kerr data. What follows is a summary of the results presented in [6]. A maximal initial data set for Einstein's vacuum equations consists in a Riemannian metric $\tilde{h}_{ab}$, and a trace-free symmetric tensor field $\tilde{K}^{ab}$ such that the vacuum constraint equations

$$\tilde{D}_b \tilde{K}^{ab} = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$\tilde{R} - \tilde{K}_{ab} \tilde{K}^{ab} = 0, \quad (8)$$

are satisfied; where $\tilde{D}_a$ and $\tilde{R}$ are the Levi-Civita connection and the Ricci scalar associated with $\tilde{h}_{ab}$. In these equations the indexes are moved with the metric $\tilde{h}_{ab}$ and its inverse $\tilde{h}^{ab}$.

We will assume that the initial data are axially symmetric, that is, there exist an axial Killing vector η^a such that

$$\mathcal{L}_\eta \tilde{h}_{ab} = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_\eta \tilde{K}_{ab} = 0, \quad (9)$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ denotes the Lie derivative.

The Killing vector η^a is assumed to be hypersurface orthogonal. Under these conditions, the metric $\tilde{h}_{ab}$ can be characterized by two functions q, v of the coordinates ρ, z . These functions are specified as follow. We write the metric in the form

$$\tilde{h}_{ab} = e^v h_{ab}, \quad (10)$$

where the conformal metric h_{ab} is given by

$$h = e^{-2q}(d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2 d\phi^2. \quad (11)$$

In these coordinates we have $\eta^a = (\partial/\partial\varphi)^a$ and its norm with respect to the metric $\tilde{h}_{ab}$ will be denoted by X

$$X = \eta^a \eta^b \tilde{h}_{ab} = e^v \rho^2. \quad (12)$$

The function q is assumed to be smooth with respect to the coordinates ρ, z . At the axis we impose the regularity condition

$$q(\rho = 0, z) = 0, \quad (13)$$

and at infinity we assume the following fall-off

$$q = o(r^{-1}), \quad q_{,r} = o(r^{-2}). \quad (14)$$

The potential Y is calculated in terms of the second fundamental form. Define the vector $\tilde{S}^a$ by

$$\tilde{S}_a = \tilde{K}_{ab}\eta^b - X^{-1}\tilde{\eta}_a\tilde{K}_{bc}\eta^b\eta^c, \quad (15)$$

where $\tilde{\eta}_a = \tilde{h}_{ab}\eta^b$. Using equations (7), (9) and the Killing equation we obtain

$$\tilde{D}_{[b}K_{a]} = 0, \quad K_a = \tilde{\epsilon}_{abc}\tilde{S}^b\eta^c, \quad (16)$$

where $\tilde{\epsilon}_{abc}$ is the volume element of $\tilde{h}_{ab}$. Then there exist a scalar function Y such that $K_a = \tilde{D}_a Y$. This function contains the angular momentum J of the data

$$J = \frac{1}{8} (Y(\rho = 0, -z) - Y(\rho = 0, z)), \quad z \neq 0. \quad (17)$$

For any data $(\tilde{h}_{ab}, \tilde{K}^{ab})$ which satisfy these assumptions, we have a pair v, Y and its corresponding angular momentum J . Let $u_0 \equiv (v_0, Y_0)$ the extreme Kerr data with the same J and define $\varphi \equiv (\alpha, y)$ by (3). We have the following result.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $(\tilde{h}_{ab}, \tilde{K}^{ab})$ be a maximal, axisymmetric, vacuum, initial data with mass m and angular momentum J , such that the metric satisfies (10)–(11) and (13)–(14). Define φ as above. Then, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \epsilon$ the inequality (1) holds. Moreover, $m = \sqrt{J}$ in this neighborhood if and only if the data are the extreme Kerr data.*

Proof. In [6] it has been proved that under the present assumptions we have

$$m \geq \mathcal{M}(u_0 + \varphi). \quad (18)$$

By theorem 1.1 we have

$$m \geq \mathcal{M}(u_0 + \varphi) > \mathcal{M}(u_0), \quad (19)$$

for $\varphi \neq 0$. Since u_0 is the extreme Kerr data we have $\mathcal{M}(u_0) = \sqrt{|J|}$ (see[6]), then the conclusion follows. $\square$

Finally, we mention that these results are similar in spirit to the local proof of the positivity of the mass given in [2] [4].

2 Preliminaries

Let us assume $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$ and consider the real-valued function

$$i_\varphi(t) = \mathcal{M}(u_0 + t\varphi). \quad (20)$$

The first derivative of $i_\varphi(t)$ with respect to t is given by

$$i'_\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \{ \partial v \partial \alpha + (\partial Y \partial y - \alpha |\partial Y|^2) X^{-2} \} d\mu, \quad (21)$$

where the t dependence in the right-hand side of (21) is encoded in the functions v, Y, X defined by

$$v \equiv v(t) = v_0 + t\alpha, \quad Y \equiv Y(t) = Y_0 + ty, \quad (22)$$

and

$$X \equiv X(t) = \rho^2 e^{v(t)}, \quad X_0 \equiv X(0) = \rho^2 e^{v_0}. \quad (23)$$

The second derivative is given by

$$i''_\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \{ |\partial \alpha|^2 + (2\alpha^2 |\partial Y|^2 - 4\alpha \partial Y \partial y + |\partial y|^2) X^{-2} \} d\mu. \quad (24)$$

The integrand of the functional $\mathcal{M}$ is singular at $\rho = 0$. However, we have defined the space $\mathcal{B}$ only for functions y with support in Ω_{ρ_0} . Then, the domain of integration of the terms in which ∂y appears is in fact Ω_{ρ_0} and hence the integrand is clearly regular for those terms. Moreover, we have the following.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$, then the function $i_\varphi(t)$ is C^2 in the t variable.*

Proof. This is a straightforward computation. We will show the the third derivative exists for all t . From equation (24) we compute

$$i'''_\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (-2\alpha^3 |\partial Y|^2 + 6\alpha^2 \partial Y \partial y - 3\alpha |\partial y|^2) X^{-2} d\mu. \quad (25)$$

The terms which contain ∂y in (25) have support in Ω_{ρ_0} , using inequalities (97) and (96) they can be easily bounded by the norm $\mathcal{B}$. The only term which does not contain ∂y is given by

$$-2\alpha^3 |\partial Y_0|^2. \quad (26)$$

To bound this term we use inequality (96). $\square$

In [6] we have proved that the extreme Kerr initial data is a critical point of $\mathcal{M}$, that is we have

$$i'_\varphi(0) = 0, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{B}. \quad (27)$$

In the next section, to prove that the second derivative is coercive we will need the following auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, which is defined in terms of the weighted Sobolev norms

$$\|\alpha\|_{\mathcal{H}_1}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial\alpha|^2 d\mu + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\alpha|^2 r^{-2} d\mu, \quad (28)$$

$$\|y\|_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2 = \int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} |\partial y|^2 \rho^{-4} d\mu + \int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} |y|^2 \rho^{-6} d\mu, \quad (29)$$

and its corresponding scalar products. Note that both the domain of integration and the weight functions are different in these definitions. The norm in $\mathcal{H}$ is defined by

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \equiv \|\alpha\|_{\mathcal{H}_1}^2 + \|y\|_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2, \quad (30)$$

with its corresponding scalar product. We have $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{H}$.

The following weighted Poincare inequalities will be important in the proof of lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\delta \neq 0$ a real number. Then*

$$|\delta|^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial\alpha|^2 r^{-2\delta-1} d\mu \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\alpha|^2 r^{-2\delta-3} d\mu, \quad (31)$$

$$|\delta|^{-1} \int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} |\partial y|^2 \rho^{-2\delta} d\mu \geq \int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} |y|^2 \rho^{-2\delta-2} d\mu. \quad (32)$$

Proof. Inequality (31) is proved in [1] (Theorem 1.3). Using a similar argument we will prove (32). It is sufficient to consider functions y with compact support in Ω_{ρ_0} . The key is the following identity

$$\Delta(\ln \rho) = 0, \text{ in } \Omega_{\rho_0}, \quad (33)$$

where Δ is the Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Testing this equation with $\rho^{-2\delta} y^2$ gives

$$\int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} \partial(\rho^{-2\delta} y^2) \partial(\ln \rho) d\mu = 0. \quad (34)$$

Which expands to

$$\int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} \rho^{-2\delta-2} y^2 \leq |\delta|^{-1} \int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} \rho^{-2\delta-1} |y| |\partial_\rho y| d\mu, \quad (35)$$

and Hölders inequality gives (32). $\square$

The following lemma shows that $i_\varphi''(t)$ is uniformly continuous with respect to the $\mathcal{H}$ norm.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $0 < t < 1$. For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $\eta(\epsilon)$ such that for $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \eta(\epsilon)$ we have*

$$|i''_{\varphi}(t) - i''_{\varphi}(0)| \leq \epsilon \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \quad (36)$$

Proof. The proof is a straightforward but tedious calculation. Using (24) we calculate

$$i''_{\varphi}(t) - i''_{\varphi}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (A + B + D) d\mu, \quad (37)$$

where

$$A = 2\alpha^2 \left(\frac{|\partial Y|^2}{X^2} - \frac{|\partial Y_0|^2}{X_0^2} \right), \quad (38)$$

$$B = -4\alpha \partial y \left(\frac{\partial Y}{X^2} - \frac{\partial Y_0}{X_0^2} \right), \quad (39)$$

$$D = |\partial y|^2 (X^{-2} - X_0^{-2}). \quad (40)$$

We decompose further A and B in the following way

$$A = A_1 + A_2 + A_3, \quad B = B_1 + B_2, \quad (41)$$

where

$$A_1 = 2\alpha^2 \frac{|\partial Y_0|^2}{X_0^2} (e^{-2t\alpha} - 1), \quad (42)$$

$$A_2 = 4t \frac{\alpha^2}{X_0^2} e^{-2t\alpha} \partial Y_0 \partial y, \quad (43)$$

$$A_3 = 2t^2 \frac{\alpha^2}{X_0^2} e^{-2t\alpha} |\partial y|^2, \quad (44)$$

and

$$B_1 = -4(e^{-2t\alpha} - 1)\alpha X_0^{-2} \partial y \partial Y, \quad (45)$$

$$B_2 = -4t\alpha X_0^{-2} |\partial y|^2. \quad (46)$$

In the following, we will bound each individual term. We will repeatedly use the inequalities (96)–(102) given in appendix A for the extreme Kerr initial data.

Note that A_1 is the only term without ∂y , and hence the only term with support in $\mathbb{R}^3$, the other terms have support in Ω_{ρ_0} . To bound A_1 we use inequality (96)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A_1 d\mu \leq 2C_1(e^{2\eta} - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \alpha^2 X_0^{-2} d\mu \leq 2C_1(e^{2\eta} - 1) \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad (47)$$

where we have used

$$|\alpha| \leq \sigma^\beta \|\alpha\|_{C_\beta^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \|\alpha\|_{C_\beta^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \eta. \quad (48)$$

To bound the other terms we will use inequality (97). For A_2 we have

$$\int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} A_2 d\mu \leq 4e^{2\eta} \eta \int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} \alpha \frac{\partial Y}{X_0} \frac{\partial y}{X_0} d\mu, \quad (49)$$

$$\leq 4e^{2\eta} \eta \left(\int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} \alpha^2 \frac{|\partial Y|^2}{X_0^2} d\mu \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} \frac{|\partial y|^2}{X_0^2} d\mu \right)^{1/2}, \quad (50)$$

$$\leq 4C_1 e^{2\eta} \eta \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2, \quad (51)$$

where in the second line we have used Hölder inequality and in the third line inequalities (96) and (97).

The terms A_3 is simpler

$$\int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} A_3 d\mu \leq 2e^{2\eta} \eta^2 \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \quad (52)$$

In the similar way we get

$$\int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} B_1 d\mu \leq 4(e^{2\eta} - 1) \left(\int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} \alpha^2 \frac{|\partial Y|^2}{X_0^2} d\mu \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} \frac{|\partial y|^2}{X_0^2} d\mu \right)^{1/2} \quad (53)$$

$$\leq (e^{2\eta} - 1) C_1 \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \quad (54)$$

For B_2 we get

$$\int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} B_2 d\mu \leq 4\eta \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \quad (55)$$

Finally for D we have

$$\int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} D d\mu \leq (e^{2\eta} - 1) \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \quad (56)$$

Since all the coefficients multiplying $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ are smooth with respect to η and go to zero as η goes to zero we get (36). $\square$

3 Positivity of the second variation

From equation (24), it is far from obvious that the second variation evaluated at the critical point u_0 is positive definite. In order to prove that, the key

ingredient is the following remarkable identity proved by Carter [3]. In terms of our variables it has the following form

$$F + \alpha G'_v + y G'_Y + 2\alpha y G_Y - X^{-2} y^2 G_v = \partial (\alpha \partial \alpha + y X^{-1} \partial (y X^{-1})), \quad (57)$$

where

$$G_v(t) = \Delta v + X^{-2} |\partial Y|^2, \quad (58)$$

$$G_Y(t) = \partial(X^{-2} \partial Y), \quad (59)$$

the derivatives with respect to t of these functions are given

$$G'_v(t) = \Delta \alpha + (2\partial y \partial Y - 2\alpha \partial_a Y \partial^a Y) X^{-2}, \quad (60)$$

$$G'_Y(t) = \partial(X^{-2} (\partial y - 2\alpha \partial Y)), \quad (61)$$

and the positive definite function F is given by

$$F(t) = (\partial \alpha + y X^{-2} \partial Y)^2 + (\partial(y X^{-1}) - X^{-1} \alpha \partial Y)^2 + (X^{-1} \alpha \partial Y - y X^{-2} \partial X)^2 \quad (62)$$

The identity (57) is valid for arbitrary v, Y, α, y and it is straightforward to check.

For $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$, integrating by part we obtain

$$- \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\alpha G'_v + y G'_Y) d\mu = 16\pi i''_\varphi(t). \quad (63)$$

Equation (27) is equivalent to $G_v(0) = G_Y(0) = 0$. If we integrate the identity (57) in $\mathbb{R}^3$ for $t = 0$, the boundary terms in the right-hand side vanishes for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$, then we get

$$i''_\varphi(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(0) d\mu \geq 0. \quad (64)$$

Moreover, $i''_\varphi(0)$ is strictly positive. Assume that we have $i''_\varphi(0) = 0$ for some $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$. Then, $F(0) = 0$. We rewrite the second and the third term in (62) in the following form

$$(X \partial \bar{y} + \bar{y} \partial X - X^{-1} \alpha \partial Y)^2 + (X^{-1} \alpha \partial Y - \bar{y} \partial X)^2 \geq 2^{-1} X^2 (\partial \bar{y})^2, \quad (65)$$

where

$$\bar{y} = \frac{y}{X^2}. \quad (66)$$

From this we deduce that $F(0) = 0$ implies $\partial(y X_0^{-2}) = 0$, and hence, by the assumption $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$, we obtain $y = 0$. Then we deduce $\alpha = 0$ from the first term in (57).

Equation (64) is a necessary condition for a local minimum, however it is not sufficient. The following lemma gives the sufficient condition used in the proof of theorem 1.1. Note that in order to formulate this coercive condition we need the auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ defined in the previous section.

Lemma 3.1. *There exists $\lambda > 0$ such that for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$ we have*

$$i''_{\varphi}(0) \geq \lambda \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \quad (67)$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$. Note that $i''_{\varphi}(0)$, as function of φ , defines a bilinear form $a(\varphi, \varphi)$ given by

$$a(\varphi, \varphi) \equiv i''_{\varphi}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(0) d\mu, \quad (68)$$

where F is given explicitly by (62).

Inequality (67) is equivalent to the following variational problem

$$\lambda = \inf_{\varphi \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{a(\varphi, \varphi)}{\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}. \quad (69)$$

Since $a(\gamma\varphi, \gamma\varphi) = \gamma^2 a(\varphi, \varphi)$ for every real number γ , this variational problem is equivalent to

$$\lambda = \inf_{\varphi \in M} a(\varphi, \varphi), \quad (70)$$

where

$$M = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{B} : \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = 1\}. \quad (71)$$

It is clear that $\lambda \geq 0$ because $a(\varphi, \varphi)$ is positive definite. We want to prove that $\lambda > 0$.

Let us assume that $\lambda = 0$. Then, there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_n\}$ such that

$$\|\varphi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1, \quad \text{for all } n, \quad (72)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a(\varphi_n, \varphi_n) = 0. \quad (73)$$

By the inequality (65) we have,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a(\varphi_n, \varphi_n) \geq 2^{-1} \int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} X_0^2 (\partial \bar{y}_n)^2 = 0. \quad (74)$$

Using the bounds (97), (99) and the Poincare inequality (32) we have

$$\int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} X_0^2 (\partial \bar{y}_n)^2 d\mu \geq \int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} \rho^4 (\partial \bar{y}_n)^2 d\mu \quad (75)$$

$$\geq 2 \int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} \rho^2 |\bar{y}_n|^2 d\mu \quad (76)$$

$$\geq \frac{2}{C_2^2} \int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} \rho^{-6} |y_n|^2 d\mu. \quad (77)$$

Then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} \rho^{-6} |y_n|^2 d\mu = 0. \quad (78)$$

Replacing $\bar{y}_n$ by $X_0^{-2} y_n$ in (74) we get the inequality

$$a(\varphi_n, \varphi_n) + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} X_0^{-2} |y_n|^2 |\partial \ln X_0|^2 \geq 4 \int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} X_0^{-2} (\partial y_n)^2. \quad (79)$$

From this inequality, using the bounds (97), (99) and (102) we obtain

$$a(\varphi_n, \varphi_n) + \frac{C_3}{4} \int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} \rho^{-6} |y_n|^2 \geq 4C_2 \int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} \rho^{-4} (\partial y_n)^2. \quad (80)$$

We apply (78) and (73) to get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} \rho^{-4} |\partial y_n|^2 d\mu = 0, \quad (81)$$

and then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_2} = 0. \quad (82)$$

In the previous inequalities, we have only used the second and the third terms of F , the first term implies

$$\int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} y_n^2 X_0^{-4} |\partial Y_0|^2 d\mu + a(\varphi_n, \varphi_n) \geq 2^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial \alpha_n|^2. \quad (83)$$

We have

$$\int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} y_n^2 X_0^{-4} |\partial Y_0|^2 d\mu \leq C_1 \int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} y_n^2 r^{-2} \rho^{-4} \leq C_1 \int_{\Omega_{\rho_0}} y_n^2 \rho^{-6} d\mu, \quad (84)$$

where in the last inequality we have used that $r \geq \rho$.

From (84), (83) and (78) we deduce

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial \alpha_n|^2 d\mu = 0, \quad (85)$$

and using the Poincare inequality (31) we finally obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\alpha_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_1} = 0. \quad (86)$$

Equations (86) and (82) contradict (72), hence we have that $\lambda > 0$. $\square$

4 Proof of theorem 1.1

Proof. The proof follows standard arguments, see for example [9] chapter 5 and [11] chapter 40.

In lemma 2.1 we have proved that the function $i_\varphi(t)$ is C^2 with respect to t . The classical Taylor theorem for $t = 1$ yields

$$\mathcal{M}(u_0 + \varphi) - \mathcal{M}(u_0) = i_\varphi(1) - i_\varphi(0) = \frac{i_\varphi''(\vartheta)}{2}, \quad 0 < \vartheta < 1. \quad (87)$$

To prove (6) we will show that $i_\varphi''(\vartheta) \geq 0$ and $i_\varphi''(\vartheta) = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi = 0$.

From lemma 2.3 we have that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $\eta(\epsilon)$ such that the following inequality holds

$$|i_\varphi''(\vartheta) - i_\varphi''(0)| \leq \epsilon \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2, \quad (88)$$

for every $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \eta(\epsilon)$. From this inequality we deduce

$$i_\varphi''(0) - \epsilon \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq i_\varphi''(\vartheta). \quad (89)$$

We use lemma 3.1 and inequality (89) to conclude that

$$(\lambda - \epsilon) \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq i_\varphi''(\vartheta). \quad (90)$$

Choosing $\eta(\epsilon)$ such that $\epsilon < \lambda$ the desired result follows. $\square$

Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to thank R. Bartnik, R. Beig, L. Simon and R. Schoen for valuable discussions. Special thanks to Todd Oliynyk for illuminating discussions concerning Carter identity.

This work has been supported by the Sonderforschungsbereich SFB/TR7 of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

A Extreme Kerr initial data

Consider the extreme Kerr metric, with angular momentum J , in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates $(t, \tilde{r}, \theta, \phi)$. The corresponding potentials X_0, Y_0 are given by

$$Y_0 = 2J(\cos^3 \theta - 3 \cos \theta) - \frac{2J^2 \cos \theta \sin^4 \theta}{\Sigma}, \quad (91)$$

and

$$X_0 = \left(\frac{(\tilde{r}^2 + |J|)^2 - r^2 |J| \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma} \right) \sin^2 \theta, \quad (92)$$

$$= \left(\tilde{r}^2 + |J| + \frac{2|J|^{3/2} \tilde{r} \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma} \right) \sin^2 \theta, \quad (93)$$

where

$$\tilde{r} = r + \sqrt{|J|}, \quad \Sigma = \tilde{r}^2 + |J| \cos^2 \theta, \quad (94)$$

The function v_0 is given by the definition (23)

$$v_0 = \ln X_0 - 2 \ln \rho, \quad (95)$$

where $\rho = r \sin \theta$.

From (91) and (92) we get the following inequality

$$\frac{|\partial Y_0|^2}{X_0^2} \leq C_1 r^{-2} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (96)$$

From equation (93) we obtain a lower bound for X_0

$$\rho^2 \leq X_0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (97)$$

To get an upper bound we use equation (92) to obtain

$$X_0^2 \leq 16\rho^4 \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{|J|}}{r} \right)^4. \quad (98)$$

From this equation we deduce

$$X_0^2 \leq C_2 \rho^4 \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\rho_0}, \quad (99)$$

where

$$C_2 = 16\rho^4 \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{|J|}}{\rho_0} \right)^4. \quad (100)$$

Note that $\lim_{\rho_0 \rightarrow 0} C_2 = \infty$.

From equation (93) and (95) we obtain

$$|\partial v_0|^2 \leq C'_3 r^{-2} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (101)$$

where $C'_3 > 0$ and then

$$|\partial \ln X_0|^2 \leq |\partial v_0|^2 + 4\rho^{-2} \leq C_3 \rho^{-2} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (102)$$

where $C_3 = C'_3 + 4$ and we have used that $r \geq \rho$.

References

- [1] R. Bartnik. The mass of an asymptotically flat manifold. *Comm. Pure App. Math.*, 39(5):661–693, 1986.
- [2] D. R. Brill and S. Deser. Variational methods and positive energy in general relativity. *Ann. Phys.*, 50(3):548–570, 1968.
- [3] B. Carter. Axisymmetric black hole has only two degrees of freedom. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 26(6):331–333, 1971.
- [4] Y. Choquet-Bruhat and J. E. Marsden. Solution of the local mass problem in general relativity. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 51(3):283–296, 1976.
- [5] S. Dain. Angular momentum-mass inequality for axisymmetric black holes. 2005.
- [6] S. Dain. A variational principle for stationary, axisymmetric solutions of einstein’s equations. 2005, gr-qc/0508061.
- [7] S. Dain, C. O. Lousto, and R. Takahashi. New conformally flat initial data for spinning black holes. *Phys. Rev. D*, 65(10):104038, 2002, gr-qc/0201062.
- [8] J. L. Friedman and S. Mayer. Vacuum handles carrying angular momentum; electrovac handles carrying net charge. *J. Math. Phys.*, 23(1):109–115, 1982.
- [9] M. Giaquinta and S. Hildebrandt. *Calculus of variations. I*, volume 310 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. The Lagrangian formalism.
- [10] G. T. Horowitz. The positive energy theorem and its extensions. In F. J. Flaherty, editor, *Asymptotic behavior of mass and spacetime geometry (Corvallis, Ore., 1983)*, volume 202 of *Lecture Notes in Phys.*, pages 1–21. Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [11] E. Zeidler. *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications. III*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985. Variational methods and optimization, Translated from the German by Leo F. Boron.